



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,108	09/18/2002	Ronald Scott Bunker	839-1331	8204

30024 7590 05/27/2003

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C./G.E.
1100 N. GLEBE RD.
SUITE 800
ARLINGTON, VA 22201

EXAMINER

KIM, TAE JUN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3746

5

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/065,108

Applicant(s)

BUNKER, RONALD SCOTT

Examiner

Ted Kim

Art Unit

3746

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritter et al (5,724,816) in view of JP 2001-164901 and/or Glezer et al (6,098,397).

Ritter et al teach a connector segment 40 for connecting a combustor liner and transition piece in a gas turbine, the connector segment having a substantially cylindrical shape and being of double-walled construction including inner and outer walls 56, 42, respectively and a plurality of cooling channels 46 extending axially along the segment, between said inner and outer walls 56, 42. Ritter et al do not teach at least one of said radially inner and outer surfaces is formed with an array of semispherical concavities arranged in staggered rows. Ritter et al do teach the passages are not limited to simple axial passages but more complicated enhanced cooling geometries can be formed such as by machining on surfaces including the inner wall (col. 4, lines 37-40). JP 2001-164901 teach employing concavities (Fig. 5, 6) on turbine component surfaces in the same region as Ritter et al (see page 14, 31) in order to enhance the cooling effectiveness and there is no restriction on what surfaces have the concavities. Glezer et al teach using concavities 84

or 84' arranged in staggered rows on turbine component surfaces in order to enhance the cooling effectiveness. Ranges are disclosed on col. 4, lines 45 and following, these include spacing between adjacent concavities as well as the depth ratio within the claimed range. As for the other ranges, these are believed to be an obvious matter of finding the workable ranges in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the surface concavities on either or both the inner and outer walls of Ritter et al, in order to enhance the cooling of these passages, as taught by JP '901 and/or Glezer et al.

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritter et al (5,822,853) in view of JP 2001-164901 and/or Glezer et al (6,098,397). Ritter et al '853 teach a connector segment 52 for connecting a combustor liner and transition piece in a gas turbine, the connector segment having a substantially cylindrical shape and being of double-walled construction including inner and outer walls 56, 54, respectively and a plurality of cooling channels 60 extending axially along the segment, between said inner and outer walls 56, 54 with a plurality of axial spaced impingement holes 62. Ritter et al do not teach at least one of said radially inner and outer surfaces is formed with an array of semispherical concavities arranged in staggered rows. Ritter et al do teach the passages are not limited to simple axial passages but further enhancements for the convective heat transfer could be employed including roughness elements or other means (col. 7, lines 18-25). JP 2001-164901 teach employing concavities (Fig. 5, 6) on turbine component surfaces in the same region as Ritter et al (see page 14, 31) in order to

Art Unit: 3746

enhance the cooling effectiveness and there is no restriction on what surfaces have the concavities. Glezer et al teach using concavities 84 or 84' arranged in staggered rows on turbine component surfaces in order to enhance the cooling effectiveness. Ranges are disclosed on col. 4, lines 45 and following, these include spacing between adjacent concavities as well as the depth ratio within the claimed range. As for the other ranges, these are believed to be an obvious matter of finding the workable ranges in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the surface concavities on either or both the inner and outer walls of Ritter et al, in order to enhance the cooling of these passages, as taught by JP '901 and/or Glezer et al.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ted Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-2631. The Examiner can be reached on regular business hours before 5:00 pm, Monday to Thursday and every other Friday.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are 703-872-9302 for Regular faxes and 703-872-9303 for After Final faxes.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Thorpe, can be reached on 703-308-0102.

Art Unit: 3746

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist of Technology Center 3700, whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

General inquiries can also be directed to Technology Center Customer Service Office at 703-306-5648 or the Patents Assistance Center whose telephone number is 800-786-9199. Furthermore, a variety of online resources are available at

<http://www.uspto.gov/main/patents.htm>



Ted Kim	Telephone	703-308-2631
Primary Examiner	Fax (Regular)	703-872-9302
May 19, 2003	Fax (After Final)	703-872-9303
Technology Center 3700 Receptionist	Telephone	703-308-0861
Technology Center 3700 Customer Service	Telephone	703-306-5648
Patents Assistance Center	Telephone	800-786-9199